

Bradley S. Slighting, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 10225  
SLIGHTING LAW  
1707 Village Center Cir., #100  
Las Vegas, NV 89134  
Telephone: (702) 232-2543  
Email: [brad@slightinglaw.com](mailto:brad@slightinglaw.com)

*Attorney for Defendant  
Leading Technology Development, LLC*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

GEORGE MYOTT, an individual,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
vs.

LEADING TECHNOLOGY  
DEVELOPMENT, LLC; DOES I through X;  
and ROE CORPORATIONS XI through XX,  
inclusive,  
  
Defendants.

Case No.: 2:24-CV-00057-JCM-NJK

**STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO  
RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S  
COMPLAINT**

**[FIRST REQUEST]**

Defendant Leading Technology Development (“LTD”), by and through its attorney, Bradley S. Slighting, Esq. of the law firm Slighting Law, and Plaintiff George Myott (“Myott”), by and through his attorney, Steven H. Burke, Esq. of the Law Office of Steven H. Burke, LLC, hereby stipulate and agree to extend the deadline for LTD to file a response to Myott’s Complaint from January 16, 2024 to **January 19, 2024** to accommodate Defendant’s counsel’s scheduling conflicts which required additional time to file such a response. This is the first stipulation to extend the deadline to respond to Myott’s Complaint. The Parties hereby specifically agree and stipulate as follows:

1 WHEREAS, on October 25, 2023, Myott filed his Complaint against LTD in the  
2 Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada.

3 WHEREAS, on December 8, 2023, Myott served a Summons and the Complaint on  
4 LTD through its registered agent.

5 WHEREAS, on January 6, 2024, LTD filed its Notice of Removal to this Court.

6 WHEREAS, LTD's response to Myott's Complaint was due on January 16, 2024.

7  
8 WHEREAS, on January 16, 2024, counsel for the Parties met and conferred via  
9 telephone and agreed to allow LTD an additional three (3) days to file a response to Myott's  
10 Complaint to accommodate Defendant's counsel's scheduling conflicts which required  
11 additional time to file such a response, thereby extending the deadline for a response from  
12 January 16, 2024 to January 19, 2024.

13  
14 WHEREAS, the Parties submitted their Stipulation to Extend Time to Respond to  
15 Plaintiff's Complaint prior to the passing of the deadline for Defendant to respond to  
16 Plaintiff's Complaint.

17 WHEREAS, the Parties' Stipulation to Extend Time to Respond to Plaintiff's  
18 Complaint was rejected by the Court on January 17, 2024 because it did not state the reason  
19 for the extension.

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

THEREFORE, the Parties stipulate and agree to extend the deadline for LTD to file a response to Myott's Complaint from January 16, 2024 to **January 19, 2024** to accommodate Defendant's counsel's scheduling conflicts which required additional time to file such a response and respectfully request that the Court approve and order the same.

IT IS SO STIPULATED this 17<sup>th</sup> day of January 2024.

SLIGHTING LAW

LAW OFFICE OF STEVEN H. BURKE,  
LLC

/s/ Bradley S. Slighting

/s/ Steven H. Burke

Bradley S. Slighting, Esq. (NBN 10225)  
1707 Village Center Cir., Suite 100  
Las Vegas, NV 89134

Steven H. Burke, Esq. (NBN 14037)  
9205 W. Russell Rd., Suite 240  
Las Vegas, NV 89148

*Attorney for Leading Technology  
Development, LLC*

*Attorney for George Myott*

**IT IS SO ORDERED:**

  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: January 18, 2024